



RECEIVED

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2005 AUG 12 P 12:42

JEFF HATCH-MILLER

Chairman

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

Commissioner

MARC SPITZER

Commissioner

MIKE GLEASON

Commissioner

KRISTIN K. MAYES

Commissioner

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

In the Matter of the Application of OCMC,)
Inc. to Obtain a Certificate of Convenience)
and Necessity From One Call)
Communications, Inc. d/b/a Opticom to)
Provide Telecommunications Services as a)
Provider of Resold Interexchange Services)
and Alternative Operator Services Within)
the State of Arizona)

Docket No. T-04103A-02-0274

Docket No. T-02565A-02-0274

**NOTICE OF FILING SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
OF DAVID HILL ON BEHALF OF
OCMC, INC.**

Notice is given that OCMC, Inc. is filing the surrebuttal testimony of David Hill in
the above-captioned docket.

DATED this 12th day of August, 2005.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

Thomas H. Campbell
Michael T. Hallam
40 N. Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
Attorneys for OCMC, Inc.

1 ORIGINAL and fifteen (15) copies
2 of the foregoing filed this 12th day of
3 August, 2005, with:

4 The Arizona Corporation Commission
5 Utilities Division – Docket Control
6 1200 W. Washington Street
7 Phoenix, Arizona 85007


8 COPIES of the foregoing
9 hand-delivered this 12th day of
10 August, 2005, to:

11 Dwight Nodes, Assistant Chief Administrative Law Judge
12 Hearing Division
13 Arizona Corporation Commission
14 1200 W. Washington Street
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

16 Tim Sabo, Legal Division
17 Arizona Corporation Commission
18 1200 W. Washington Street
19 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

20 Del Smith,
21 Utilities Division
22 Arizona Corporation Commission
23 1200 W. Washington Street
24 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

25 Ernest G. Johnson, Director
26 Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007



1
2 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

3 JEFF HATCH-MILLER

Chairman

4 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

Commissioner

5 MARC SPITZER

Commissioner

6 MIKE GLEASON

Commissioner

7 KRISTIN K. MAYES

Commissioner

9 In the Matter of the Application of OCMC,)
10 Inc. to Obtain a Certificate of Convenience)
11 and Necessity From One Call)
12 Communications, Inc. d/b/a Opticom to)
13 Provide Telecommunications Services as a)
14 Provider of Resold Interexchange Services)
and Alternative Operator Services Within)
the State of Arizona)

Docket No. T-04103A-02-0274

Docket No. T-02565A-02-0274

15
16 SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DAVID HILL
17 ON BEHALF OF OCMC, INC.

18
19 Dated: August 12, 2005
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Surrebuttal Testimony of David Hill
OCMC, Inc.

1
2 **Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony?**

3 A. To respond to the Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Del Smith dated August 5,
4 2005.

5 **Q. Have you had a chance to review Mr. Smith's Rebuttal Testimony?**

6 A. Yes, I have.

7 **Q. On page 6 of Mr. Smith's Rebuttal Testimony, he states that payphone**
8 **and hotel /motel customers would be less likely to complain about poor**
9 **service. Do you agree?**

10 A. I do not agree with this assessment. Although the reason for a lack of
11 complaints cannot be verified, I believe that it is due to the quality of the
12 service that OCMC provides. I believe that any concerns about our zero
13 minus service, especially emergency service, would be made to the
14 Commission either by the customer, or if the customer complains to the
15 hotel or payphone provider, by the hotel or payphone provider to the
16 Commission.

17 **Q. Have you reviewed Staff's suggested test call plan set forth in Exhibit**
18 **DS-3 to Mr. Smith's testimony?**

19 A. Yes.

20 **Q. Do you agree that this test plan is appropriate?**

21 A. Although OCMC maintains that the information provided is sufficient for a
22 waiver, if the Commission requires test calls, Staff's proposed test plan
23 provides a good basis for completing those test calls. I would note that
24 OCMC would conduct such test calls from its headquarters in Carmel,
25 Indiana. In addition, OCMC would need additional information about the
26 location of Qwest's (and the other LECs' facilities) and would need
additional information about whether the other LECs listed by Staff perform
their own zero minus service or contract that service to a third party. There
may be some additional modifications to the procedures, but in general, I do
not disagree with the general approach outlined in the test plan.

Surrebuttal Testimony of David Hill
OCMC, Inc.

1 **Q. Do you agree with Staff's Recommendation on pages 6 and 7 of Mr.**
2 **Smith's testimony?**

3 A. I agree with Staff that a permanent waiver is appropriate. As OCMC has
4 stated throughout this proceeding, we believe that we have met the
5 conditions of Decision No. 67444 and therefore, that a permanent waiver is
6 warranted. However, if Staff's additional conditions are required, I am
7 confident that OCMC would meet those conditions and, of course, will do so
8 if ordered by the Commission.

9 **Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?**

10 A. Yes, it does.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26